

#51

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Sunday, April 27, 2025 8:25:11 PM
Last Modified: Sunday, April 27, 2025 9:26:21 PM
Time Spent: 01:01:09
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1

Q1

First name
[REDACTED]

Q2

Last name
[REDACTED]

Q3

Email
[REDACTED]

Q4 Yes
Can we contact you about your submission (if needed)?

Q5 Yes
Can we add your email to our mailing list for occasional updates on this topic?

Q6 I am a private land manager/owner.
What best describes you?

Q7 North Coast
What best describes your main regional interest?

Q8

Your submission may be published. If you do not want your personal details or responses published, please tell us here.

I agree to have my submission published anonymously
– please remove my name before publishing.

Q9

What do you consider is the most significant action(s) we can undertake to protect and restore biodiversity and ecosystem function on private lands?

Such measures often infringe on the rights of private property owners to use and manage our land as we see fit. It imposes financial burdens on us, limiting our land use.

The cost is unsustainable for farmers and small landowners who rely on their land for income.

Government overreach often has unintended consequences, decreasing agricultural productivity and limiting economic development and causing stress and anxiety to landowners.

Q10

How can we further improve soil, water and vegetation management to protect and restore biodiversity while delivering sustainable economic outcomes?

Having government driven initiatives is problematic, as private land owners have a vested interest in managing their land effectively to maintain productivity and value. Overregulation limits the owners ability to make decisions that best suit their unique circumstances. One size fits all policy fails to consider regional ecological differences leading to inefficient and often harmful management practices.

Q11

What do you consider is the most effective way to further support and enable landholders to deliver sustainable land management and production outcomes?

Tax incentives, conservation grants or payments for ecosystem services could encourage landowners to preserve biodiversity voluntarily, though it is very important to remember the land you are trying to control is private land, and that does come with the right of ownership

Q12

Is there any other information about this topic you would like to share with us?

The terms of reference for this review are biased to your desired outcome.

The majority of problems on our land have occurred due to government mismanagement ie biosecurity fails such as devils fig invasion, tropical soda apple invasion, fire ants, cane toads, Parramatta grass, giant rats tail grass, bahia grass etc etc etc.

Government body failures which has cost farmers billions of dollars in control and lost productivity and income.

You do not want to look at the real issues, you only want to solve a problem by locking it up, but as we have seen first hand in the national parks, locking it up and forgetting about it causes more weeds, more vermin and wilder, hotter bush fires which has the opposite effect. A review should be undertaken on the objectives and outcomes of this practice instead of trying to solve your issues on our place.

Lead by example, fix your problems before trying to fix ours.

Also not everyone has internet and by making it so only one survey per email address, makes it very hard. This could be solved by just adding the address bar.